



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/713,813

11/14/2003

Robert J. Sweeney

20030228.ORI

2579

23595

7590

08/30/2006

NIKOLAI & MERSEREAU, P.A.
900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH
SUITE 820
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402

EXAMINER

HOLMES, REX R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3762

DATE MAILED: 08/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/713,813	Applicant(s) SWEENEY, ROBERT J.	
	Examiner Rex Holmes	Art Unit 3762	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 March 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 and 3 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 4-9 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see amendment, filed 03/14/2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1,6,7 and 9 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) and claim(s) 2-5 and 8 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Lebel et al. (U.S. Pub. 2002/0065540 hereinafter "Lebel").

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Lebel (U.S. Pub. 2002/0065540).

Lebel discloses a method providing an external programmer for transmitting and receiving data signals and for visually displaying information to a person (¶ 5). The disclosed external programming unit is considered to anticipate the claimed external programmer because both devices are capable of providing signals to the implantable medical device through a programming head, which transmits, and receiving radio-frequency messages to and from the implant;

Lebel further discloses providing an implantable medical device having a microprocessor-based controller with a memory for storing text messages and a

telemetry link for allowing duplex communication with said external programmer (§139).

The disclosed microprocessor-based architecture is considered to anticipate the claimed invention because both provide a series of encoded signals to the implantable medical device, typically through a programming head, which transmits, or telemeters radio-frequency (RF) encoded signals to the medical device. Further, controlling the operation of the module and the exchange of data is permitted;

Lebel also discloses transmitting at least one text message from the external programmer to the implantable medical device over the telemetry link along with a text message initiation and termination date and a priority (§§ 84, 174, 274, 316). The disclosed transmission of short text messages is considered to anticipate the claimed transmission of at least one text message because both methods are done employing telemetry communication principles;

Lebel further discloses storing the at least one text message in said memory (§110). The disclosed use of the message service is considered to anticipate the claimed storing of the text message because both receive and keep short messages for subsequent relay to an external programmer/monitor for informing a clinician of certain events so that timely action can be taken;

Lebel subsequently discloses periodically interrogating the memory with said external programmer and reading out the at least one text messages over the telemetry link to the external programmer for visual display when the time of said interrogation falls between the initiation date and the termination date (§405). The disclosed interrogation of the communication module is considered to anticipate the claimed

interrogation of the memory because both methods work to transmit the messages over the telemetry link according to a predetermined schedule stored in the memory.


Regarding claims 4-9, Lebel discloses that the priority flag in combination with the messages can change the frequency and timing of the text messages along with changing and clearing the priority flag one read by the external programmer (¶¶ 174, 316). Lebel further discloses a method including the step of updating the status of a given text message in the implantable medical device following the performance of a responsive action by a clinician to said text message presented on the visual display (¶316). The disclosed method of updating patient operating parameters is considered to anticipate the claimed method of updating the status of a given text message because both change the status of the message displayed in response to feedback elicited from a physician or clinician.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rex Holmes whose telephone number is 571-272-8827. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 571-272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



Rex Holmes



George Evanisko

Primary Examiner

6/20/6